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ABSTRACT
We present Grobid-quantities, an open source application for extracting and normalising measurements from scientific and patent literature. Tools of this kind, aiming to understand and make unstructured information accessible, represent the building blocks for large-scale Text and Data Mining (TDM) systems. Grobid-quantities is a module built on top of Grobid [5], a machine learning framework for parsing and structuring PDF documents. Designed to process large quantities of data, it provides a robust implementation accessible in batch mode or via a REST API. The machine learning engine architecture follows the cascade approach, where each model is specialised in the resolution of a specific task. The models are trained using CRF (Conditional Random Field) algorithm [11] for extracting quantities (atomic values, intervals and lists), units (such as length, weight) and different value representations (numeric, alphabetic or scientific notation). Identified measurements are normalised according to the International System of Units (SI). Thanks to its stable recall and reliable precision, Grobid-quantities has been integrated as the measurement-extraction engine in various TDM projects, such as Marve (Measurement Context Extraction from Text), for extracting semantic measurements and meaning in Earth Science [9]. At the National Institute for Materials Science in Japan (NIMS), it is used in an ongoing project to discover new superconducting materials. Normalised materials characteristics (such as critical temperature, pressure) extracted from scientific literature are a key resource for materials informatics (MI) [8].
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1 INTRODUCTION
The data overflow in scientific publications makes rapid access to relevant information a challenging issue, for both researchers and readers. One of the essential elements found in scientific literature is the physical quantity or measurement, which combines quantification of units (such as grams or micrometres) and quantified object or substances. The automatic extraction of measurements has been studied for many years. Nowadays, although the technology has been evolved, there are still several challenges to overcome: (1) natural language and writing style have varieties of expressions (for example, length can be expressed as m, meter, metre). (2) Overlaps between the different units of measurement (pico Henry inductance and acidity share the same notation, pH). (3) The physical quantities or measurements are scalable by accompanying units (e.g., 1 pl. = 453.6 g), meaning that value and unit combination and its normalisation are necessary for semantic recognition. The need for a precise automatic generation of databases from physical measurements is common to a wide range of domains.

In this paper, we present Grobid-quantities, an Open Source application for identifying, parsing and normalising measurements from scientific and patent literature. Using Conditional Random Field (CRF) [11], it provides a machine learning framework for extracting information in a robust manner, and then normalise them toward the International System of Units (SI). This article is organised as follow. In Section 2 we introduce related work. Then, we describe the system in Section 3 and report its evaluation results in Sections 4. Use cases and future scopes are described in Section 5. Section 6 concludes this paper.

2 RELATED WORK
Attempts to extract measurements from text have been made using rule-based (formal grammars engines, look-ups in terminological
A known commercial tool, Quantalyze, was reported by [9] showing weak recall and supporting only a limited subset of units [3]. Another approach [1], using GATE (General Architecture for Text Engineering), addressed the identification of numeric properties from patents. [2] investigated issues applied to Russian-derived languages. These approaches lack either the generalisation to an extensive corpus or deal mainly with specific languages. [4] described an attempt to recognise units by looking up terms from an ontology, using ML in combination with pattern matching and string metrics. Other ML-based approaches exist, although limited to specific domains: [10] and [7] describe measurements extraction from experimental results in biology and nanocrystal device development, respectively. Our work is not restricted to a specific domain or subset of measurements and includes a normalisation process.

3 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Grobid-quantities is a Java application, based on Grobid (GeneRation Of BiBliographic Data) [5], a machine learning framework for parsing and structuring raw documents such as PDF or plain text. Grobid-quantities is designed for large-scale processing tasks in batch or via a web REST API. Results information are standardised and can be stored in databases or visualised on PDF, via the obtained GROBID build-in positional coordinates.

3.1 Data model

The data model (Figure 1) lays its foundation on the concept of Measurement, which links an object or a substance with one or more quantities. We defined four Measurements types: (a) atomic, in case of a single measurement (e.g., 10 grams), (b) interval (from 3 to 5 km) and (c) range (100 ± 4 mm) for continuous values, and, (d) a list of discrete values. A Quantity links the quantitative value and the unit. At this stage we do not support probability distribution of ranges and intervals. Given the example 25m², first returns a list [25m, ^2] and then recursively divides 25m as [25, m] resulting in [25, m, ^2].

3.2 Architecture

The system takes in input text or PDF and performs three steps: (a) tokenisation, (b) measurement extraction and parsing and (c) quantity normalisation. The details of each step are summarised as follows.

3.2.1 Tokenisation. This process splits input data into tokens. Grobid-quantities uses a two-phase tokenisation: (1) first it splits by punctuation marks, then (2) each resulting token is re-tokenised to separate adjacent digits and alphanumeric characters. Given the example 25m², first returns a list [25m, ^2] and then recursively divides 25m as [25, m] resulting in [25, m, ^2].

3.2.2 Extraction. The tokenised data is labelled by three models, applied in cascade: the Quantities CRF model determines appropriate unit and value tags. Results are processed in cascade by the respective Units and Values CRF models as illustrated in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: The cascade approach in applied CRF models.](image-url)

As illustrated in Table 1, Quantities CRF model uses additional labels (such as <unitLeft>, <unitRight> for units) to correctly reconstruct complex objects from the flat structure obtained from the sequence labelling output.

Previous work (Section 2) presented extensive use of databases or ontologies. In our solution, we used a similar approach. We created a list of units (in English, French and German) with their characteristics: system (SI base, SI derived, imperial, ...) and type (volume, length, ...), and their representations: notations (m³, m⁻³), lemmas (cubic meter, cubic metre) and inflections (cubic meters, cubic metres). We made this list available through the Unit Lexicon,
which offers unit lookups by properties (such as notation, lemma, inflexion). A second gazetteer was created to allow the transformation of alphabetic values in numeric ones (for example, twenty-one to 21).

Features in the *Quantities* CRF model are generated using standard information (preceding and following tokens, presence of capital, digits). Orthogonal features are obtained through the *Unit Lexicon*, like a *Boolean* indicating whether a token is a known unit or not. Typographical information (such as format, fonts, subscript/superscript) are ignored.

The *Units* CRF model works at character level and uses the *Unit Lexicon* to highlight known units or prefixes. The input tokens are parsed and transformed to a product of triples (prefix, base, power) as shown in Table 2. For example Kg/mm², corresponds to Kg·mm⁻² and becomes [(K, g, 1), (m, m, -²)] as product of triples.

Table 2: Labels description for the CRF *Units* model. The example shows in bold the part referred by the label.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;prefix&gt;</td>
<td>prefix of the unit</td>
<td>km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;base&gt;</td>
<td>unit base</td>
<td>km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;pow&gt;</td>
<td>unit power</td>
<td>km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;other&gt;</td>
<td>everything else</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We then use the structured triples to fetch the corresponding (system, type) information from the *Unit Lexicon* and attach them to the resulting object. At the moment we do not exploit any contextual information related to the paper domain to resolve ambiguous units. In parallel, the *Value* CRF model unifies the format of identified values into numerical formats. It supports four types: numeric, alphabetic, scientific notation, and time expression (see Table 3). Each type is treated with different parsers, namely alphabetic expressions are looked up in the word-to-number gazetteer, scientific notations are parsed and calculated mathematically. Time expressions are processed using the built-in Date Grobid model [5].

3.2.3 Normalisation. The measurements extracted are transformed to the base SI unit (grams to kg, Celsius to Kelvin, and so on). We used an external Java library called Units of Measurement which provides a set of standard interfaces and implementations for safely handling units and quantities. Manipulating measurements with transformations often lead to common mistakes due to wrong rounding and approximations. At the time this paper is being written, the final revised version of this library has been accepted under the Java Standardisation Process JSR-385.

4 EVALUATION AND RESULTS

We trained and evaluated our system using a corpus of 32 Open Access (OA) English articles retrieved from different domains such as medicine, robotics, astronomy, and physiology. The corpus contains additionally three patents translated in English, French and German. Three people annotated the corpus, and each document was cross-checked. The corpus, although small, is public, documented and open to external contributions. We partitioned training and evaluation data using 80/20 proportion. We estimated precision, recall and F1-score for each model, using the evaluation framework built-in in Grobid. These measure indices are calculated at three different levels: token-level, field-level and instance-level. Given a fragment with their predicted and expected labels. While token-level scores are calculated independently for each token, field-level scores are calculated for each continuous sequence of tokens under the same label (a sequence of several tokens which all belong to the same labelled chunk, e.g. a unit), finally instance-level is the aggregated score of fields in the whole paragraph [8].

Table 3: Labels description for the CRF model for *Values*. In bold an example of tokens for the specific label recognise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;number&gt;</td>
<td>numeric value / coefficient</td>
<td>2.5 · 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;alpha&gt;</td>
<td>alphabetic value</td>
<td>twenty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;time&gt;</td>
<td>time expression</td>
<td>in 1970-01-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;base&gt;</td>
<td>base in scientific notation</td>
<td>2.5 · 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;pow&gt;</td>
<td>exponent in scientific notation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;other&gt;</td>
<td>everything else</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Tables 4 we obtained average F1-score of 86.9% and 87.02% for token and field level respectively. The low score for *valueLists* and *unitRight* suggests that more examples of that
kind are required. The highest F1-score for \textit{valueRange} above 90% is reasonable, because of limited variety in expressions for a Range as compared with normal Intervals. The recall at instance level is 68.49%, indicating that more than half of the evaluated paragraphs were correctly labelled.

Table 5: Units CRF model evaluation results (precision, recall and F1-score).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Token-level</th>
<th>Field-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P  R  F1</td>
<td>P  R  F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;base&gt;</td>
<td>97.52 92.49 94.94</td>
<td>77  82.8 79.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;pow&gt;</td>
<td>81.82 90  85.71</td>
<td>73.33 84.62 78.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;prefix&gt;</td>
<td>62.79 93.1 75</td>
<td>70.27 92.86 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>90.64 92.37 91.49</td>
<td>75  85.07 79.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows that Units CRF models F1-score is 91.49% and 79.72% for token and field level, respectively. The F1-score dropped by 10% from token to field-level. We noticed a strong bias toward \textit{base-only} unit examples. While this make sense, because simple units are statistically more frequent, it indicates that more examples covering complex units are needed. Instance-level recall is not reported for \textit{Units} and \textit{Values} because it overlap with field-level as most of the instances are composed by one field.

Table 6: Values CRF model evaluation results (precision, recall and F1-score).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Token-level</th>
<th>Field-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P  R  F1</td>
<td>P  R  F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;alpha&gt;</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;base&gt;</td>
<td>86.67 46.43 60.47</td>
<td>66.67 42.86 52.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;number&gt;</td>
<td>91.75 97.45 94.51</td>
<td>90.43 97.2 93.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;pow&gt;</td>
<td>92.86 65  76.47</td>
<td>77.78 50 60.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;time&gt;</td>
<td>89.83 86.89 88.33</td>
<td>54.55 75 63.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>91.85 90.95 91.4</td>
<td>86.18 86.75 86.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, Table 6 indicate that Values CRF model has average f1-score of 91.4% and 86.47% for token and field level, respectively. We noticed that both \textit{<base>, <pow> and <time>} have lower f1-score, suggesting that more contextual information should be introduced as features, like tokens preceding or following the value.

5 APPLICATIONS

Recently, the normalised data extraction is strongly required in materials research, because an inverse problem in which high-performance materials are predicted from properties is expected to be solved with well-organised big data. At the National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), a project to discover new superconducting materials from scientific literature is in progress. The system being developed relies on Grobid-quantities to extract and normalise superconducting properties, such as critical temperature (Tc) with units of mK and K and critical pressure expressed with units of Pa, MPa, and GPa [8]. Grobid-quantities was showcased also in a TDM prototype (within the scope of the French national-wide ISTEX [6] project) where it provided measurement annotations used to prototype a quantities-based semantic search. Finally, another use was made in a system for extracting semantic measurements and meaning in Earth Science, Marve [9].

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented Grobid-quantities, a system for extracting and normalising measurement from scientific and patent literature. Results are promising, and the integration in real applications proved a consolidated level of maturity. There is still the need to have more training data, in particular for the \textit{Quantities} and \textit{Units} CRF models, respectively. In the future, we plan to improve the extraction by introducing embeddings and recurrent neural networks, like Bi-LSTM+CRF as a replacement for the statistical CRF models. In the same scope we plan to add more contextualised information (article domain) and additional layout features (like for example superscript/subscripts). The project, the training data and the documentation are accessible on Github at the address http://github.com/kermitt2/grobid-quantities.
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Footnotes:

2The demo can be accessed at [https://traces1.inria.fr/istex_sample/](https://traces1.inria.fr/istex_sample/)

3[https://github.com/orgs/unitsofmeasurement/people](https://github.com/orgs/unitsofmeasurement/people)